

If you're wondering why you should buy this new edition of *Countries and Concepts*, here are 7 good reasons!

1. Every country case study was updated with coverage of **the latest political developments**:

- *Britain*: Conservative David Cameron became prime minister.
- *France*: President Sarkozy grew deeply unpopular and faces a tough challenge from Socialist candidate François Hollande.
- *Germany*: A new box explores why the German economy recovered quickly from the 2008–2009 recession while the U.S. economy did not.
- *Japan*: The 2011 tsunami and nuclear leak demonstrates how natural disasters can quickly become political problems.
- *Russia*: The return of Putin to the presidency after one term as prime minister showed weak institutions and a stunted democracy.
- *China*: Beijing, in the middle of a leadership turnover, is attempting to rebalance China's economy from exports to domestic consumption.
- *India*: India's economy continues to surge, making it a potential rival to China.
- *Mexico*: Drug wars and a resurgent PRI seem likely to end PAN's 12 years of conservative rule.
- *Brazil*: A politically stable Brazil has emerged as Latin America's economic giant.

- *Nigeria*: Goodluck Jonathan won the 2011 elections but presides over an increasingly unstable Nigeria.
- *Iran*: Populist President Ahmadinejad, trying to seize more power, was rebuked by the country's theocratic leaders.

2. A deeper introductory chapter surveys **the theory behind comparative politics**, including the definition of democracy, the rise of states, and modernization theory.

3. **Brazil**, which appeared in earlier editions, is restored. Its growth from a shaky to a firm democracy shows that a country can modernize out of praetorianism.

4. The Arab Spring prompted inclusion of a **new "Why This Country Matters" section in every chapter**; it tells students how each country contributes to the study of democracy.

5. Our major systems—Britain, France, Germany, Russia, and China—were **shortened and combined into one chapter** each, making them closer in length to the other systems—Japan, India, Mexico, Brazil, Nigeria, and Iran—and easier to assign in the course of one semester.

6. Russia and China are now grouped together as **post-communist systems**, each illustrating different paths out of communism—neither of which has so far led to democracy.

7. The twelfth edition is presented in a **new four-color design** to enliven the text.



COUNTRIES AND CONCEPTS

This page intentionally left blank

COUNTRIES AND CONCEPTS

POLITICS, GEOGRAPHY, CULTURE

Twelfth Edition

Michael G. Roskin

LYCOMING COLLEGE

PEARSON

Boston Columbus Indianapolis New York San Francisco Upper Saddle River
Amsterdam Cape Town Dubai London Madrid Milan Munich Paris Montréal Toronto
Delhi Mexico City São Paulo Sydney Hong Kong Seoul Singapore Taipei Tokyo

Senior Acquisitions Editor: Vikram Mukhija
Associate Development Editor: Corey Kahn
Editorial Assistants: Beverly Fong, Isabel Schwab
Executive Marketing Manager: Wendy Gordon
Senior Digital Media Editor: Paul DeLuca
Digital Editor: Lisa Dotson
Production Manager: Savoula Amanatidis
Project Coordination, Text Design, and Electronic Page Makeup: Integra-Chicago
Cover Design Manager: Wendy Ann Fredericks
Cover Image: Copyright © Veer
Senior Manufacturing Buyer: Dennis J. Para
Printer and Binder: Quad Graphics–Taunton
Cover Printer: Lehigh-Phoenix Color Corporation–Hagerstown

For permission to use copyrighted material, grateful acknowledgment is made to the copyright holders on p. 495, which are hereby made part of this copyright page.

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Roskin, Michael, 1939–

Countries and concepts : politics, geography, culture/Michael G.

Roskin.—12th ed.

p. cm.

Includes bibliographical references and index.

ISBN-13: 978-0-205-85465-3

ISBN-10: 0-205-85465-6

1. Comparative government. I. Title.

JF51.R54 2013

320.3—dc23

2011045334

Copyright © 2013, 2011, 2009 and 2007 by Pearson Education, Inc.

All rights reserved. Manufactured in the United States of America. This publication is protected by Copyright, and permission should be obtained from the publisher prior to any prohibited reproduction, storage in a retrieval system, or transmission in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or likewise. To obtain permission(s) to use material from this work, please submit a written request to Pearson Education, Inc., Permissions Department, One Lake Street, Upper Saddle River, New Jersey 07458, or you may fax your request to 201-236-3290.

10 9 8 7 6 5 4 3 2 1—QGT—15 14 13 12

PEARSON

www.pearsonhighered.com

ISBN-13: 978-0-205-85465-3

ISBN-10: 0-205-85465-6

Brief Contents

Detailed Contents vii

Preface xxvii

MyPoliSciLab xxxi

Supplements xxxiii

Chapter 1 The Uses of Comparative Politics 2

PART I THE DEMOCRACIES 22

Chapter 2 Britain 24

Chapter 3 France 70

Chapter 4 Germany 122

Chapter 5 Japan 176

PART II THE POST-COMMUNIST SYSTEMS 210

Chapter 6 Russia 212

Chapter 7 China 262

PART III THE DEVELOPING AREAS 318

Chapter 8 India 320

Chapter 9 Mexico 352

Chapter 10 Brazil 384

Chapter 11 Nigeria 414

Chapter 12 Iran 444

Epilogue: Lessons of Eleven Countries 477

Glossary 481

Photo Credits 495

Index 497

This page intentionally left blank

Detailed Contents

Preface	xxvii
MyPoliSciLab	xxxi
Supplements	xxxiii

CHAPTER 1 The Uses of Comparative Politics 2

Revolution and Democracy	3
Quarrels Over Time	4
■ COMPARISON: Comparative Politics Among Political Science Subfields	4
■ PERSONALITIES: Aristotle	5
■ DEMOCRACY: Defining Democracy	6
Nations and States	7
■ GEOGRAPHY: What Made the Modern State	8
The Modern State	9
Nationalism	9
Impact of the Past	10
Key Institutions	12
■ DEMOCRACY: Waves of Democracy	12
Political Culture	13
■ POLITICAL CULTURE: What Is “Ideology”?	14
The Politics of Social Cleavages	15
Patterns of Interaction	16
■ GEOGRAPHY: Fake States	16
What They Quarrel About	18
■ COMPARISON: Country Experts Versus Comparativists	20

PART I THE DEMOCRACIES 22

CHAPTER 2 Britain 24

Impact of the Past	25
Magna Carta	26
The Rise of Parliament	26
■ GEOGRAPHY: Invadability	26
Henry VIII	28
■ COMPARISON: Common Law	28
Parliament Versus King	29
■ GEOGRAPHY: The United Kingdom	29
Cromwell's Commonwealth	30
The "Glorious Revolution"	30
■ DEMOCRACY: "One Man, One Vote"	30
The Rise of the Prime Minister	31
The Democratization of Parliament	31
■ GEOGRAPHY: Seacoast	31
■ PERSONALITIES: Hobbes, Locke, Burke	32
The Rise of the Welfare State	33
■ DEMOCRACY: "Power Corrupts"	33
The Key Institutions	34
The Monarch	34
■ COMPARISON: The Origins of Two Welfare States	34
The Cabinet	35
■ DEMOCRACY: The Queen Chooses a New Prime Minister	36
The Prime Minister	37
■ PERSONALITIES: David Cameron	38
■ DEMOCRACY: Prime Ministers into Presidents	40
Commons	41
Lords	42
The Parties	43
Britain's Two-Party System	43
British Political Culture	44
"Public" Schools	45
■ GEOGRAPHY: Centers and Peripheries	45
"Oxbridge"	46

Class and Voting	46
■ GEOGRAPHY: The 2010 Elections: Region and Class	47
British Civility	48
■ POLITICAL CULTURE: The Shape of the British Electorate	48
Pragmatism	49
Traditions and Legitimacy	49
The Ulster Ulcer	50
■ POLITICAL CULTURE: Football Hooliganism	50
A Changing Political Culture	51
Patterns of Interaction	51
■ DEMOCRACY: 2010: A Hung Parliament	52
National and Local Party	53
Politics Within the Parties	54
Parties and Interest Groups	55
The Parties Face Each Other	56
The Cabinet and the Civil Servants	56
■ POLITICAL CULTURE: The Utility of Dignity	57
The Civil Service and Interest Groups	58
How Democratic Is Britain?	58
What Britons Quarrel About	59
The Political Economy of Britain	59
Cameron's "Big Society"	60
■ DEMOCRACY: Pluralistic Stagnation	60
The "British Disease"	61
■ COMPARISON: The Cost of the Welfare State	61
■ COMPARISON: The Productivity Race	62
The Trouble with National Health	63
Britain's Racial Problems	64
■ GEOGRAPHY: Devolution for Scotland and Wales	65
Britain and Europe	66

CHAPTER 3 France 70

Impact of the Past	71
The Roman Influence	71
The Rise of French Absolutism	73
■ GEOGRAPHY: Rivers	73
■ GEOGRAPHY: Core Areas	74

Why the French Revolution?	75
■ PERSONALITIES: Three French Geniuses: Voltaire, Montesquieu, Rousseau	75
From Freedom to Tyranny	76
■ GEOGRAPHY: Bound France	76
■ COMPARISON: Brinton's Theory of Revolution	77
The Bourbon Restoration	78
■ DEMOCRACY: Left, Right, and Center	78
The Third Republic	79
■ GEOGRAPHY: A Tale of Two Flags	79
Vichy: France Splits Again	80
■ POLITICAL CULTURE: The Dreyfus Affair	80
The Fourth Republic	81
■ POLITICAL CULTURE: France's Political Eras	81
The Key Institutions	82
A Semipresidential System	82
■ DEMOCRACY: France's Presidential Election of 2007	84
Premier and Cabinet	86
■ PERSONALITIES: Sarkozy: Brash, Energetic Outsider	86
The National Assembly	88
The French Multiparty System	89
■ DEMOCRACY: France's Parliamentary Elections of 2007	90
■ GEOGRAPHY: Decentralizing Unitary Systems	91
The Constitutional Council	92
■ GEOGRAPHY: Sailing the Mediterranean	92
French Political Culture	93
■ POLITICAL CULTURE: How to Celebrate a 200-Year-Old Revolution	93
French Patriotism	94
A Climate of Mistrust	95
■ GEOGRAPHY: The Persistence of Region	95
School for Grinds	96
■ GEOGRAPHY: "Every Country Has a South"	96
The "Great Schools"	97
■ POLITICAL CULTURE: How Would You Do on the "Bac"?	97
■ POLITICAL CULTURE: The French-U.S. Love-Hate Relationship	98
Freedom or Authority?	99

Social Class	99
The Great Calming Down	100
■ DEMOCRACY: The Centrist French	100
Patterns of Interaction	101
The Fractured French Left	102
The Fractured French Right	104
■ POLITICAL CULTURE: The Events of May 1968	105
The Stalemate Cycle	106
Referendum Madness	106
Fragmented Labor Unions	107
Business and the Bureaucracy	109
■ COMPARISON: The Rise of Europe's Angry Right	109
Government by Bureaucracy	110
■ COMPARISON: "Putting on the Slippers"	110
What the French Quarrel About	111
The Political Economy of France	111
Big Guys Versus Little Guys	112
■ COMPARISON: European and U.S. Attitudes on the State	112
The Privatization Question	113
■ COMPARISON: European and U.S. Conservatism	113
Unemployment: The Giant Problem	114
■ COMPARISON: Nuclear Power à la Française	115
France's Racial Problems	116
■ GEOGRAPHY: The Geography of Migration	116
■ COMPARISON: Is There a VAT in Our Future?	117
France's Education Problems	118
France and Europe	119
■ COMPARISON: Medical Care and Costs	119

CHAPTER 4 Germany 122

Impact of the Past	123
Fragmented Germany	123
■ GEOGRAPHY: Boundaries: Lines on a Map	125
The Rise of Prussia	126

German Nationalism	126
■ GEOGRAPHY: Mountains	128
■ PERSONALITIES: Bismarck's Dubious Legacy	129
The Second Reich	130
The Catastrophe: World War I	130
■ GEOGRAPHY: Bound Germany	130
■ GEOGRAPHY: Bound Poland	131
Republic Without Democrats	132
■ GEOGRAPHY: Bound Hungary	132
■ DEMOCRACY: Polarized Pluralism	133
The Third Reich	134
■ GEOGRAPHY: Another Tale of Two Flags	134
The Occupation	135
■ POLITICAL CULTURE: Germany's Political Eras	135
The Key Institutions	136
■ GEOGRAPHY: From Bonn to Berlin	136
The President	137
The Chancellor	137
The Cabinet	138
■ PERSONALITIES: Angela Merkel	138
The Bundestag	139
■ GEOGRAPHY: Federations	139
The Constitutional Court	140
From "Two-Plus" to Multiparty System	141
The Bundesrat	142
A Split Electoral System	143
■ COMPARISON: Germany's Electoral System: An Export Product	143
■ DEMOCRACY: 2009: A Split Electoral System in Action	144
German Political Culture	146
The Moral Vacuum	146
The Remembrance of Things Past	147
The Generation Gap	149
■ POLITICAL CULTURE: Political Generations in Germany	149
■ PERSONALITIES: Willy Brandt as Turning Point	150
■ POLITICAL CULTURE: The Ossi-Wessi Split	151

Schooling for Elites	152
The German Split Personality	153
Patterns of Interaction	153
■ GEOGRAPHY: Sailing the Baltic	153
Parties and the Electorate	154
■ POLITICAL CULTURE: Unhappy on the Left: The Jusos	155
The Chancellor and the Electorate	156
■ DEMOCRACY: The “Catchall” Party	156
German Dealignment?	157
■ POLITICAL CULTURE: Bavaria’s Own Party: The CSU	157
The Bundestag and the Citizen	158
■ DEMOCRACY: Germany’s Coalitions	159
The Union-Party Linkup	160
The Länder and Berlin	161
German Voting Patterns	161
■ GEOGRAPHY: Elections and Maps	162
What Germans Quarrel About	164
The Political Economy of Germany	164
■ COMPARISON: Who Wins the Manufacturing Race?	165
■ COMPARISON: The German and U.S. Economies	166
Merging Two Economies	167
■ GEOGRAPHY: How Germany Unified	168
Bailing Out the Euro	170
The Flood of Foreigners	170
■ GEOGRAPHY: Citizenship: Blood or Soil?	171
Is Berlin Weimar?	172
■ GEOGRAPHY: Demography as Politics	173

CHAPTER 5 Japan 176

Impact of the Past	177
Japanese Feudalism	177
The European Jolt	179
■ GEOGRAPHY: Japan and Britain	179
The Forced Entry	180

The 1868 Meiji Restoration	180
■ GEOGRAPHY: Cruising the Sea of Japan	180
The Path to War	181
■ COMPARISON: A Japanese Model of Industrialization?	181
■ GEOGRAPHY: Another Tale of Two Flags	182
The Great Pacific War	183
Up from the Ashes	184
■ POLITICAL CULTURE: Japan's Political Eras	184
The Key Institutions	185
Weak Prime Ministers	185
■ PERSONALITIES: Fill in the Blanks: A Generic Prime Minister	185
The Japanese Diet	186
The Parties	187
Japan's Electoral System	188
The Ministries	189
■ DEMOCRACY: The 2009 Elections: A Hybrid System in Action	189
■ POLITICAL CULTURE: Why Is Wa?	190
Japanese Territorial Organization	191
■ POLITICAL CULTURE: The Roots of Nihonjin-Ron	191
Japanese Political Culture	192
■ POLITICAL CULTURE: Guilt Versus Shame	192
■ POLITICAL CULTURE: Japan's Critical Christians	193
The Cult of the Group	194
Education for Grinds	194
■ COMPARISON: How Would You Do on a Japanese Exam?	194
■ COMPARISON: Destined to Misunderstand?	195
Death of a Sarariman	196
The "New Human Race"	196
■ COMPARISON: Changing Political Cultures in Germany and Japan	196
Patterns of Interaction	197
■ DEMOCRACY: Japan's Major Interest Groups	197
Bureaucrats in Command	198
■ COMPARISON: Bureaucratic Elites in France and Japan	198
■ DEMOCRACY: The Politics of Natural Disasters	199
Corruption Scandals	200
No Leadership	201

No Losers	201
■ DEMOCRACY: Who Bribes Whom?	201
Reform Without Change	202
■ DEMOCRACY: Can “Money Politics” Be Broken?	202
What Japanese Quarrel About	203
The Political Economy of Japan	203
From Bubble to Burst	204
■ GEOGRAPHY: Living Without Lebensraum	204
Should Japan Rearm?	206
■ COMPARISON: Japanese and U.S. Economic Problems	206
■ GEOGRAPHY: Running Out of Japanese	207

PART II THE POST-COMMUNIST SYSTEMS 210

CHAPTER 6 Russia 212

Impact of the Past	213
The Slavic People	213
■ GEOGRAPHY: Bound Russia	214
Russian Autocracy	215
Forced Modernization	216
Westernizers and Slavophiles	216
■ PERSONALITIES: Lenin, the Great Revolutionary	217
Marxism Comes to Russia	218
Curtain-Raiser: The 1905 Revolution	219
World War I and Collapse	219
■ PERSONALITIES: Kerensky: Nice Guys Lose	219
The Revolution and Civil War	220
■ PERSONALITIES: Stalin: “One Death Is a Tragedy; A Million Is a Statistic”	221
War Communism and NEP	222
■ GEOGRAPHY: Another Tale of Two Flags	222
The Key Institutions	223
The Stalin System	223
The New System	226
■ DEMOCRACY: 1991: The Coup That Failed	226
■ GEOGRAPHY: The Ex-Soviet Republics	228

■ DEMOCRACY: 1993: The Second Coup That Failed	229
■ DEMOCRACY: Russia's Surprising 2011 Parliamentary Elections	230
■ PERSONALITIES: Putin: The KGB President	231
■ DEMOCRACY: Russia's 2008 Presidential Elections	232
A Dominant-Party System	233
Russian Political Culture	233
The Russian Difference	233
The Mask of Legitimacy	234
■ GEOGRAPHY: Huntington's "Civilizational" Divide in Europe	234
■ GEOGRAPHY: Bound Serbia	235
■ POLITICAL CULTURE: The Philosophical Gap	236
The Illusion of Ideology	237
The Rediscovery of Civil Society	237
Natural Egalitarians?	238
■ POLITICAL CULTURE: The Economics Gap	238
■ POLITICAL CULTURE: The Moral Gap	239
Russian Racism	240
■ POLITICAL CULTURE: The Legal Gap	240
A Culture of Insecurity	241
■ DEMOCRACY: Free Media	241
■ PERSONALITIES: Failed Reformers: Nikita Khrushchev	242
Patterns of Interaction	243
Reformers Versus Conservatives	244
President Versus Parliament	244
The Taming of the Oligarchs	245
■ COMPARISON: Totalitarian Versus Authoritarian	245
■ PERSONALITIES: Failed Reformers: Mikhail Gorbachev	246
■ DEMOCRACY: Runaway Systems	247
The Two Mafias	248
■ PERSONALITIES: Failed Reformers: Boris Yeltsin	248
The Army	249
■ COMPARISON: The Timing of Reforms	249
Transition to What?	250
What Russians Quarrel About	251
The Political Economy of Russia	251

Why Did We Miss It?	252
■ GEOGRAPHY: Running Out of Russians	252
■ GEOGRAPHY: Yugoslavia: A Miniature Soviet Union?	253
The Rubble of the Ruble	254
■ GEOGRAPHY: Fear of Invasion	255
Recover the Lost Republics?	256
■ GEOGRAPHY: Trouble in the North Caucasus	256
■ COMPARISON: A Middle Way for Socialism?	258
Which Way Russia?	259

CHAPTER 7 China 262

Impact of the Past	263
The Bureaucratic Empire	263
■ GEOGRAPHY: Bound China	264
■ POLITICAL CULTURE: Confucianism: Government by Right Thinking	266
■ POLITICAL CULTURE: Chinese Words in Roman Letters	267
The Long Collapse	268
From Empire to Republic	269
■ POLITICAL CULTURE: U.S. Involvement in China	269
■ GEOGRAPHY: Sailing the East China Sea	270
The Communist Triumph	271
The Key Institutions	271
The New Chinese Model	272
■ GEOGRAPHY: Heavenly Government: Concentrated and Isolated	273
The Importance of the Party	274
■ COMPARISON: Indirect Analysis of Authoritarian Systems	274
The Soviet Parallel	275
The Party	276
■ PERSONALITIES: Tandem Power: Mao and Zhou	276
■ PERSONALITIES: The Invisible Puppeteer: Deng Xiaoping	278
The Army	279
A Decentralized Unitary System	281
■ PERSONALITIES: China's Third to Fifth Generations of Rulers	281

Chinese Political Culture	282
Traditional Culture	282
Nationalist China	284
■ GEOGRAPHY: Peasants in the Cities	284
Maoism	285
■ POLITICAL CULTURE: Beijing Rules	286
Something to Believe In	287
■ POLITICAL CULTURE: How China Uses Its Past	288
Crouching Anger, Hidden Dissent	289
■ POLITICAL CULTURE: Religion in China	290
“My Father Is Li Gang!”	291
■ GEOGRAPHY: Region and Language	291
■ DEMOCRACY: Academic Freedom in China	292
A Bourgeois China?	293
■ GEOGRAPHY: Ethnic Strife in China	293
Proud China	294
Patterns of Interaction	294
The Great Leap Forward	295
The Great Proletarian Cultural Revolution	295
Chinese Left and Right Politics	296
Rice-Roots Democracy?	297
Rule by Engineers	298
■ DEMOCRACY: A Chinese Way to Democracy?	298
Coercion in Reserve	299
■ COMPARISON: Equality and Growth	300
Trying to Discipline Corruption	302
■ DEMOCRACY: The Tiananmen Massacre	302
What Chinese Quarrel About	303
The Political Economy of China	303
It Only Looks Capitalist	304
“Rebalance” China’s Economy?	306
Should China Float?	306
■ COMPARISON: Big Mac Index	307
A Market Economy for China?	308
Birth Effects	309
■ DEMOCRACY: Ten Preliminary Steps to Democracy	310

The Trouble with Markets	311
A Middle Way for the Middle Kingdom?	312
■ DEMOCRACY: Do Markets Lead to Democracy?	312
■ GEOGRAPHY: The Hong Kong Example	313
China and the World	314

PART III THE DEVELOPING AREAS 318

CHAPTER 8 India 320

Impact of the Past	322
■ GEOGRAPHY: Bound India	323
■ COMPARISON: The Uniqueness Trap	324
The Arrival of Islam	325
The British Takeover	325
■ GEOGRAPHY: Turbulent Frontiers	325
Indian Independence	327
■ PERSONALITIES: Gandhi: The Great Soul	327
■ GEOGRAPHY: Partition	328
■ PERSONALITIES: Manmohan Singh	329
The Key Institutions	330
The Prime Minister	331
A Bicameral Parliament	332
A Fragmented Party System	332
■ POLITICAL CULTURE: India's Political Eras	332
■ DEMOCRACY: India's 2009 Elections	333
Indian Federalism	334
■ GEOGRAPHY: India's Languages	334
India's Judiciary	335
Indian Political Culture	335
Religion in India	335
■ POLITICAL CULTURE: Indian Passivity?	336
India's Castes	337
Patterns of Interaction	338
■ POLITICAL CULTURE: Anticolonial Rage	338
Corruption and Politics	339

India's Fragmented Politics	340
■ DEMOCRACY: Indira's "Emergency"	340
■ COMPARISON: India, Mexico, and Colombia	341
Violent India	342
■ COMPARISON: The Three Economic Sectors	342
What Indians Quarrel About	343
The Political Economy of India	343
■ COMPARISON: The India–Pakistan Contrast	344
A Secular or Hindu India?	345
Quotas and Voters	346
Mass or Elite Education?	346
Foreign Policy: Neutral or Aligned?	348
■ COMPARISON: India's and China's Economies	349
The Great Asia Wager	350

CHAPTER 9 Mexico 352

Impact of the Past	353
New Spain	354
■ GEOGRAPHY: Mexico's Mountains	354
Mexican Independence	355
■ GEOGRAPHY: Bound Mexico	356
Between Monarchy and Republic	357
The Mexican Revolution	358
■ POLITICAL CULTURE: Poor Mexico!	358
The Revolution Institutionalized	359
■ POLITICAL CULTURE: Mexico's Political Eras	360
The Key Institutions	361
■ DEMOCRACY: Mexico's Close 2006 Election	362
The Six-Year Presidency	363
■ PERSONALITIES: Felipe Calderón	363
Mexico's Legislature	364
Mexico's Three-Party System	365
■ COMPARISON: Term Lengths	365
■ DEMOCRACY: Mexico's 2009 Legislative Elections	367
Mexican Federalism	368

Mexican Political Culture	368
Mexico's Indian Heritage	369
Imported Ideologies	370
■ COMPARISON: Mexico and America as Colonies	370
■ DEMOCRACY: Cautious Democrats	371
Patterns of Interaction	372
Clientelism and Co-optation	372
■ DEMOCRACY: Elections and Democracy	373
Políticos Versus Técnicos	374
Mexican Catholicism	374
■ POLITICAL CULTURE: Songs of Drug Dealing	375
Crime and Politics	376
What Mexicans Quarrel About	377
The Political Economy of Mexico	377
The NAFTA Question	378
Drugs: A Mexican or U.S. Problem?	379
Illegal or Undocumented?	380
Modern Mexico?	381

CHAPTER 10 Brazil 384

Impact of the Past	385
The Portuguese Difference	385
Painless Independence	386
■ GEOGRAPHY: Bound Brazil	387
From Empire to Republic	388
■ POLITICAL CULTURE: "Order and Progress"	388
The Old Republic	389
Vargas's "New State"	389
The Rise and Fall of Jango Goulart	390
The Key Institutions	391
The Struggle to Stabilize	391
Congress and the Presidency	392
■ DEMOCRACY: Brazil's 2010 Presidential Elections	392
■ PERSONALITIES: Lula: "I Changed. Brazil Changed."	393
A Deceptive Party System	394

The Military as Political Institution	394
■ COMPARISON: Brazil's School for Praetorians	395
A Lack of Institutions	396
■ PERSONALITIES: Dilma Rousseff, Brazil's First Woman President	396
Brazilian Political Culture	397
The Easygoing Image	397
Brazilian Racism	397
Brazil's Poor: Passive or Explosive?	398
■ GEOGRAPHY: Shantytowns	399
Uneven Democratic Attitudes	400
■ POLITICAL CULTURE: Personalismo and Machismo	400
Dependency Theory	401
Patterns of Interaction	401
An Elite Game	401
The Mobilization-Demobilization Cycle	402
The Inflation Connection	404
■ DEMOCRACY: The Economy Connection	404
■ DEMOCRACY: The Demagoguery Tendency	405
The Corruption Connection	406
Resurgent Interest Groups	406
The Church as Opposition	407
What Brazilians Quarrel About	407
The Political Economy of Brazil	407
How to Fight Poverty?	410
■ COMPARISON: The Addiction of Statism	410
The Population Problem	411
Stable Democracy?	412
■ GEOGRAPHY: Developing the Amazon Region	412

CHAPTER 11 Nigeria 414

Impact of the Past	415
The Coming of the Europeans	415
■ GEOGRAPHY: The Geography of Imperialism	417

CHAPTER 12 Iran 444

Impact of the Past	445
The Arab Conquest	446
■ GEOGRAPHY: Bound Iran	446
Western Penetration	447
The First Pahlavi	448
The Last Pahlavi	449
■ COMPARISON: Atatürk and Reza Shah	449
■ GEOGRAPHY: Sunni and Shia	451
The Key Institutions	452
A Theocracy	452
Iran's Legislature	453
■ GEOGRAPHY: Cruising the Persian Gulf	453
■ DEMOCRACY: Iran's Rigged 2009 Presidential Election	454
Emerging Parties?	455
■ PERSONALITIES: Mahmoud Ahmadinejad	456
Iranian Political Culture	457
Islam as a Political Ideology	458
■ POLITICAL CULTURE: Is Islam Antimodern?	458
Democracy and Authority	460
■ POLITICAL CULTURE: Are Iranians Religious Fanatics?	460
Iranian Nationalism	461
■ DEMOCRACY: Iran's Angry Students	461
Patterns of Interaction	462
Religion as a Political Tool	462
Moderates and Islamists in Iran	463
■ POLITICAL CULTURE: Does Islam Discriminate Against Women?	464
The Revolution Burns Out	465
■ COMPARISON: Is Saudi Arabia Next?	466
What Iranians Quarrel About	467
The Political Economy of Iran	467
■ GEOGRAPHY: How Many Iranians?	467

The Veiled Debate on Islam	469
■ GEOGRAPHY: Strategic Waterways	469
Iran as a Regional Power	470
■ POLITICAL CULTURE: The United States and Iran	471
Do Revolutions End Badly?	472
■ GEOGRAPHY: Bound Israel	473
Epilogue: Lessons of Eleven Countries	477
Glossary	481
Photo Credits	495
Index	497

This page intentionally left blank

Preface

Countries and Concepts does not attempt to create young scholars out of college students. Rather, it sees comparative politics as an important but usually neglected grounding in citizenship that we should be making available to our young people. I agree with the late Morris Janowitz (in his 1983 *The Reconstruction of Patriotism: Education for Civic Consciousness*) that civic education has declined in the United States and that this poses dangers for democracy. Our students are often uninformed about the historical, political, economic, geographical, and moral aspects of democracy, and to expose them to professional-level abstractions in political science ignores their civic education and offers material that is largely meaningless to them. An undergraduate is not a miniature graduate student.

Accordingly, *Countries and Concepts* includes a good deal of fundamental vocabulary and concepts, buttressed by many examples. It is dedicated to Kant's injunction that concepts must never be separated from percepts. It is readable. Many students neglect assigned readings; with *Countries and Concepts*, they cannot make the excuse that the reading is long or boring.

Some reviewers note that *Countries and Concepts* contains values and criticisms. This is part of my purpose. The two go together; if you have no values, then you have no basis from which to criticize. Value-free instruction is probably impossible. If successful, it would produce value-free students, and that, I think, should not be the aim of the educational enterprise. If one knows something with the head but not with the heart, then one really does not know it at all.

Is *Countries and Concepts* too critical? It treats politics as a series of ongoing quarrels for which no very good solutions can be found. It casts a skeptical eye on all political systems and all solutions proposed for political problems. As such, the book is not out to “get” any one country. All political systems are flawed; none approaches perfection. Let us simply say so. *Countries and Concepts* rejects absurd theories of smoothly functioning systems or rational calculators that never break down or make mistakes. Put it this way: If we are critical of the workings of our own country's politics—and many, perhaps most, of us are—why should we abandon that critical spirit in looking at other lands?

NEW TO THIS EDITION

Instructor input and the rapid march of events prompted major changes in the twelfth edition of *Countries and Concepts*.

- Country updates include:

Britain: Conservative David Cameron became prime minister.

France: President Sarkozy grew deeply unpopular and faced a tough challenge from Socialist candidate François Hollande.

Germany: A new box explores why the German economy recovered quickly from the 2008–2009 recession while the U.S. economy did not.

Japan: The 2011 tsunami and nuclear leak demonstrate how natural disasters can quickly become political problems.

Russia: Putin's plan for a third presidential term plus rigged legislative elections produced unexpected citizen anger.

China: Beijing is attempting to rebalance China's economy from exports to domestic consumption.

India: India's economy continues to surge, making it a potential rival to China.

Mexico: Drug wars and a resurgent PRI seem likely to end PAN's 12 years of conservative rule.

Brazil: A politically stable Brazil has emerged as Latin America's economic giant.

Nigeria: Goodluck Jonathan won the 2011 elections but presides over an increasingly unstable Nigeria.

Iran: Populist President Ahmadinejad, trying to seize more power, was rebuked by the country's theocratic leaders.

- A deeper and more theoretical introductory chapter surveys the theory behind comparative politics, including the definition of democracy, the rise of states, and modernization theory.
- Brazil, which appeared in earlier editions, is restored. Its growth from a shaky to a firm democracy shows that a country can modernize out of praetorianism.
- The Arab Spring prompted inclusion of a new “Why This Country Matters” section in every chapter; it tells students how each country contributes to the study of democracy.
- Our major systems—Britain, France, Germany, Russia, and China—were shortened and combined into one chapter each, making them closer in length to the other systems—Japan, India, Mexico, Brazil, Nigeria, and Iran—and easier to assign in the course of one semester.
- Russia and China are now grouped together as “post-communist systems,” each illustrating different paths out of communism—neither of which has so far led to democracy.

FEATURES

The twelfth edition continues the loose theoretical approach of previous editions with the observation that politics, on the surface at least, is composed of a number of conflicts or quarrels. These quarrels, if observed over time, form patterns of some durability beyond the specific issues involved. What I call patterns of interaction are the relationships among politically relevant groups and individuals, what they call in Russian *kto-kovo*, who does what to whom. There are two general types of such patterns: (1) between elites and masses, and (2) among and within elites.

Before we can appreciate these patterns, however, we must study the political culture of a particular country, which leads us to its political institutions and ultimately to its political history. This produces a five-fold division in the study of each country. We could start with a country's contemporary political quarrels and work backward, but it is probably better to begin with the underlying factors as a foundation from which to understand their impact on modern social conflict. This book goes from history to institutions to political culture to patterns of interaction to quarrels. This arrangement need not supplant other approaches. Instructors have had no trouble utilizing this book in connection with their preferred theoretical insights.

Also, political geography gets much-deserved attention. Instructors agree that ignorance of geography is widespread; the subject seems to have been dropped from most school curricula. *Countries and Concepts* tries to fill this gap by combining political with geographical material, and the two fields overlap.

The structure and purpose of *Countries and Concepts* continue as before. The book analyzes four European nations plus China at somewhat greater length and seven other nations a bit more briefly.

I am willing to change this balance in subsequent editions, depending on instructor input. Should, for example, France and Germany shrink some more and India and Nigeria expand? The first part of the book (Chapters 2 through 5) deals with democracies, the second part (Chapters 6 and 7) with post-Communist Russia and China, and the third part (Chapters 8 through 12) with the developing areas.

Our greater coverage of the developing areas is called for by their economic growth and the shift of U.S. interest far beyond Europe. The emerging lands are simply too important, especially on the question of democracy. China, India, Mexico, Brazil, Nigeria, and Iran are not “representative” systems—what developing-area countries are?—but are interesting in their six different relationships to democracy: (1) the suppression of democracy in a rapidly industrializing China; (2) a durable if imperfect democracy in India; (3) democracy struggling in Mexico after a long period of one-party rule; (4) the stabilization of democracy in a thriving Brazil, (5) the difficult founding of a stable democracy in coup-prone Nigeria; and (6) democracy smothered by an Islamic revolution in Iran. These six systems provide a counterpoise to the more settled systems of Europe and Japan. Instructors can and do omit some or all of these systems—for lack of time or to focus more closely on other countries—without breaking the continuity of the text.

The order of studying these countries is not fixed. I find four groupings convenient, each followed by an exam, to facilitate comparisons between countries with similar problems: (1) Britain and France, (2) Germany and Japan, (3) Russia and China, and (4) India, Mexico, Brazil, Nigeria, and Iran. The book may lend itself to other groupings. Some may want to compare China and India, the Asian giants on two very different developmental paths.

Also included are the chapter-opening learning objectives, which prime students for the main points, and the running marginal glossaries, which help students build their vocabularies as they read. The definitions here are those of a political scientist; in other contexts, one might find different definitions. Questions at the end of each chapter will help students review the concepts they’ve learned. The feature boxes still have poster-heads—Geography, Democracy, Personalities, Political Culture, and Comparison—to give them greater focus and continuity.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I welcome your suggestions on any area of the book and its supplementary materials. Many have generously offered their comments, corrections, and criticism. Especially valuable were the comments of Danny Damron, Brigham Young University; Robert L. Youngblood, Arizona State University; Eleanor E. Zeff, Drake University; Christian Soe, California State University at Long Beach; Cheryl L. Brown, University of North Carolina at Charlotte; Karl W. Ryavec, University of Massachusetts at Amherst; Frank Myers, State University of New York at Stony Brook; Ronald F. Bunn, University of Missouri at Columbia; Said A. Arjomand, State University of New York at Stony Brook; Larry Elowitz, Georgia College; Arend Lijphart, University of California at San Diego; Thomas P. Wolf, Indiana University, Southeast; Susan Matarese, University of Louisville; Hanns D. Jacobsen, Free University of Berlin (on Germany); Ko Shioya of *Bungei Shunju* (on Japan); Carol Nechemias, Penn State at Harrisburg; Yury Polsky, West Chester University; Marcia Weigle, Bowdoin College (on Russia); Lowell Dittmer, UC–Berkeley; Richard Suttmeier, University of Oregon; Kenneth Lan, United International College, Zhuhai, China; Bill K. P. Chou, University of Macau; Liu Lin, Jishi Li, and Chu Chengya, Shandong University; Dan O’Connell, Florida Atlantic University, and Peter Muth (on China); Jim Coyle, Chapman University, and Lycoming colleague Mehrdad Madresehee (on Iran); John Peeler, Bucknell University; Stephen D. Morris, Middle Tennessee State University; and Niels de Terra (on Mexico); Robbins Burling,

University of Michigan, and Sumit Ganguly, Indiana University (on India); and Ed Dew, Fairfield University, for his suggestion to include geography. And to many students in China—who must remain nameless—I say thanks and *Minzhu!* All errors, of course, are my own. Instructors may send professional comments and corrections to me at maxxumizer@gmail.com. I am grateful for suggestions for subsequent editions.

Michael G. Roskin

MyPoliSciLab for *Countries and Concepts*

THE MOMENT YOU KNOW.

Educators know it. Students know it. It's that inspired moment when something that was difficult to understand suddenly makes perfect sense. Our MyLab products have been designed and refined with a single purpose in mind—to help educators create that moment of understanding with their students.

MyPoliSciLab delivers proven results in helping individual students succeed. It provides **engaging experiences** that personalize, stimulate, and measure learning for each student. And it comes from a **trusted partner** with educational expertise and a deep commitment to helping students, instructors, and departments achieve their goals.

MyPoliSciLab can be used by itself or linked to any learning management system. To learn more about how MyPoliSciLab combines proven learning applications with powerful assessment, visit www.mypoliscilab.com.

MYPOLISCILAB DELIVERS PROVEN RESULTS IN HELPING INDIVIDUAL STUDENTS SUCCEED.

- Pearson MyLabs are currently in use by millions of students each year across a variety of disciplines.
- MyPoliSciLab works, but don't take our word for it. Visit www.pearsonhighered.com/elearning to read white papers, case studies, and testimonials from instructors and students that consistently demonstrate the success of our MyLabs.

MYPOLISCILAB PROVIDES ENGAGING EXPERIENCES THAT PERSONALIZE, STIMULATE, AND MEASURE LEARNING FOR EACH STUDENT.

- *Assessment.* Track progress and get instant feedback on every chapter, video, and multimedia activity. With results feeding into a powerful grade book, the assessment program identifies learning challenges early and suggests the best resources to help.
- *Personalized Study Plan.* Follow a flexible learning path created by the assessment program and tailored to each student's unique needs. Organized by learning objectives, the study plan offers follow-up reading, video, and multimedia activities for further learning and practice.

- *Pearson eText*. Just like the printed text, highlight and add notes to the eText online or download it to a tablet.
- *Flashcards*. Learn key terms by word or definition.
- *Video*. Analyze current events by watching streaming video from major news providers.
- *Mapping Exercises*. Explore interactive maps that test basic geography, examine key events in world history, and analyze the state of the world.
- *Comparative Exercises*. Think critically about how politics compare around the world.
- *PoliSci News Review*. Join the political conversation by following headlines in *Financial Times* newsfeeds, reading analysis in the blog, taking weekly current events quizzes and polls, and more.
- *ClassPrep*. Engage students with class presentation resources collected in one convenient online destination.

MYPOLISCI LAB COMES FROM A TRUSTED PARTNER WITH EDUCATIONAL EXPERTISE AND AN EYE ON THE FUTURE.

- Pearson support instructors with workshops, training, and assistance from Pearson Faculty Advisors so you get the help you need to make MyPoliSciLab work for your course.
- Pearson gathers feedback from instructors and students during the development of content and the feature enhancement of each release to ensure that our products meet your needs.

To order MyPoliSciLab with this text, use ISBN 0-205-85426-5.

Supplements

Pearson is pleased to offer several resources to qualified adopters of *Countries and Concepts* and their students that will make teaching and learning from this book even more effective and enjoyable. Several of the supplements for this book are available at the Instructor Resource Center (IRC), an online hub that allows instructors to quickly download book-specific supplements. Please visit the IRC welcome page at www.pearsonhighered.com/irc to register for access.

PASSPORT

Choose the resources you want from MyPoliSciLab and put links to them into your course management system. If there is assessment associated with those resources, it also can be uploaded, allowing the results to feed directly into your course management system's grade book. With MyPoliSciLab assets like videos, mapping exercises, *Financial Times* newsfeeds, current events quizzes, politics blogs, and much more, Passport is available for any Pearson political science book. To order Passport with this book, use ISBN 0-205-85597-0.

INSTRUCTOR'S MANUAL/TEST BANK

This resource includes learning objectives, chapter outlines, chapter summaries, lecture starters, discussion questions, activities, and teaching suggestions, as well as original multiple-choice, true/false, short answer, and essay questions for each chapter. Available exclusively on the IRC.

PEARSON MYTEST

This flexible, online test generating software includes all questions found in the test bank, allowing instructors to create their own personalized exams. Instructors can also edit any of the existing test questions and even add new questions. Other special features of this program include random generation of test questions, creation of alternate versions of the same test, scrambling of question sequence, and test preview before printing. Available exclusively on the IRC.

POWERPOINT® PRESENTATION

Organized around a lecture outline, these multimedia presentations also include photos, figures, and tables from each chapter. Available exclusively on the IRC.

SAMPLE SYLLABUS

This resource provides suggestions for assigning content from this book and MyPoliSciLab. Available exclusively on the IRC.

LONGMAN ATLAS OF WORLD ISSUES (0-205-78020-2)

From population and political systems to energy use and women's rights, the *Longman Atlas of World Issues* features full-color thematic maps that examine the forces shaping the world. Featuring maps from the latest edition of *The Penguin State of the World Atlas*, this excerpt includes critical thinking exercises to promote a deeper understanding of how geography affects many global issues.

GOODE'S WORLD ATLAS (0-321-65200-2)

First published by Rand McNally in 1923, *Goode's World Atlas* has set the standard for college reference atlases. It features hundreds of physical, political, and thematic maps as well as graphs, tables, and a pronouncing index.

COUNTRIES AND CONCEPTS

CHAPTER 1

The Uses of Comparative Politics



Part of the “Arab Spring,” Egyptian women pour into Cairo’s Tahrir Square demanding ouster of President-for-life Hosni Mubarak in 2011.

REVOLUTION AND DEMOCRACY

Recent events have revived an old question: Can revolution lead to democracy? Previously passive people suddenly demonstrated against corrupt and nepotistic dictators and monarchs. Regime ouster in one country inspired others. What caused these revolutions, and why at that time? Even more important, what would be their likely outcome—democracy or another dictatorship? These are some of the questions **comparative politics** attempts to answer with data and analyses.

Comparativists look at the recent revolutions in Tunisia, Egypt, Libya, Yemen, and Syria and note that none of the old regimes had much legitimacy; their people did not respect them. Second, most of their population was young, under 30, a “demographic bulge,” many of them educated but unemployed or underemployed. Third, corruption, always a problem in these countries, got worse, probably related to economic growth. And fourth, the social media—Internet, cellphones, Twitter, and Facebook—spread worldwide just as these problems were boiling up. If several countries had these four factors and many of them experienced mass unrest, you have the beginnings of a theory of revolution in our time.

Next, a comparativist might attempt to predict how revolutions will end up. Revolutions show a strong tendency to become chaotic and fall under a dictator. Few end well. To head off unhappy endings, a political scientist might suggest that the current regime carry out a gradual, peaceful transition aimed at eventual **democracy**. Unfortunately, by their very nature, **authoritarian** regimes reject advice to give up their wealth and **power**. Then, too late, when some incident has triggered a crisis, the frightened regime promises reforms. But now its opponents sense weakness and demand the regime’s ouster. Comparative politics offers the concepts, vocabulary, and case studies that can be useful, especially in tumultuous times.

Is democracy inevitable? The long-term trend is clearly in its favor. From a handful of democracies after World War II, now perhaps half of the world’s 193 nations are fully or partially democratic (see the Freedom House rankings in Democracy box). The human thirst for respect and dignity eventually weakens dictatorships. But it is also clear that democracy does not come easily or automatically, as Russia, Iraq, and Afghanistan attest. Here are some questions comparative politics might ask about the recent wave of revolution and democracy:

1. Where, historically, did democracy first appear? Why there? Is it connected with Protestantism?
2. Does democracy require certain philosophical and/or religious roots?
3. The American Revolution led to democracy, so why does this rarely happen elsewhere?
4. Why is democracy so difficult? Why does it often lead to chaos and dictatorial takeover?

LEARNING OBJECTIVES

1.1 Explain how comparative politics analyzes the recent wave of Mideast revolutions.

1.2 Contrast the terms *nation* and *state*.

1.3 Illustrate the impact a country’s past has on its present politics.

1.4 List the three main institutional structures most modern countries have.

1.5 Identify the most common social cleavages and explain how they influence political culture.

1.6 Describe how generalizations can lead to theory. Give examples.

1.7 Evaluate the importance of economics as a political quarrel.

1.1 Explain how comparative politics analyzes the recent wave of Mideast revolutions.

comparative politics Subfield of political science focused on interactions *within* other countries.

democracy Political system of mass participation, competitive elections, and human and civil rights.

authoritarian Nondemocratic or dictatorial politics.

power Ability of A to get B to do what A wants.

quarrels As used here, important, long-term political issues.

international relations (IR) Politics among countries.

5. Does democracy require a large middle class or certain levels of per capita wealth or education?
6. Which struggles are the most dangerous for the survival of democracy—class, religious, ethnic, or territorial?

Quarrels Over Time

Read and Listen to Chapter 1 at myopolscilab.com

Study and Review the Pre-Test & Flashcards at myopolscilab.com

There is no single, set way to compare countries. This book takes politics as a series of enduring **quarrels** that define the country's divisions and conflicts. A religious split or civil war long ago, for example, may influence party voting for centuries. Knowing a country's "quarrels over time" launches our analyses of a given political system. This book does not sell any one particular theory or methodology but uses a loose framework of five basic questions, followed up by many detailed questions. These five questions roughly follow the intellectual evolution of political science over a century: from history, to institutions, to political culture, to interactions, to policy.

COMPARISON ■ COMPARATIVE POLITICS AMONG POLITICAL SCIENCE SUBFIELDS

Comparative politics sees itself as the cornerstone of political inquiry (see box about Aristotle), but it is one subfield among several within political science. Comparative politics can and should inform the other subfields. Sometimes failure to do so weakens analyses.

International relations (IR) is often confused with comparative politics—because both deal with foreign events—but they are different. Comparative politics studies political interactions *within* countries, whereas international relations studies what happens *among* countries. IR tends to look at countries like billiard balls colliding with each other on the world pool table; comparative looks inside each ball to see how it works. The two, of course, influence each other.

Policy makers who attempt to apply IR perspectives without analyzing what is going on *inside* the countries involved often err. Knowing little of Afghanistan's, Iraq's, and Libya's tribes, religions, ethnic hatreds, and tumultuous histories, Washington officials trained in IR plunged us into other countries' civil wars, conflicts that were difficult to end or withdraw from. Comparativists, on the other hand, need some IR to explain foreign influences on domestic politics.

U.S. politics, although focused on domestic institutions and processes, sometimes picks

a comparative perspective in emphasizing either American exceptionalism or similarities with other countries. Comparisons among U.S. states or over time (such as the powers of the presidency in 1800, 1900, and 2000) can also use techniques of comparative politics.

Political theory, often focused on major thinkers, attempts to define the good polity. Aristotle understood that one of the best ways to do this is by comparing several systems.

Public administration, which studies how bureaucracies function, benefits greatly from a comparative perspective. Does administration depend more on institutions or political culture?

Constitutional law, focused entirely on the U.S. Constitution and legal system, can become myopic in supposing that words on paper alone determine the fate of the country. Some comparison could correct this.

Public policy studies the interaction of politics and economics in order to develop efficient programs. Comparative data on health care, energy policy, education, and much else can help eliminate wishful thinking and supposition in this crucial field.

1. *How has the past impacted current politics?* We pay little attention to the details of history—that’s for historians—but ask, “What happened then that matters now?” How has the country’s past set up its current problems? The rule of old monarchs and old regional conflicts may echo in present institutions, psychology, and quarrels.
2. *What are the main institutions?* Institutions are structures of power, sometimes spelled out in constitutions but often the slow buildup of usages evolved over time. Who really has power in this country? Is power divided or concentrated, democratic or dictatorial? How are the parliament and chief executive elected?
3. *How does the political culture influence politics?* Much depends on the customs and psychology of the people, their political culture. Are they trusting or cynical? Does ideology play a major role—if so, which ideology—or are people mostly pragmatic? Is the

demagogue Manipulative politician who wins votes through impossible promises.

 Watch the Video “Arab Spring” at mypoliscilab.com

 Explore the Comparative “Violence and Civil Wars” at mypoliscilab.com

PERSONALITIES ■ ARISTOTLE

“You cannot be scientific if you are not comparing,” UCLA’s great James Coleman used to tell his students long ago. He was actually echoing the founder of political science, Aristotle, who recognized that comparing was the basis of this discipline, its cardinal method. Aristotle sent out his students to collect information on Greece’s many city-states (*polis*), which he then compared in his *Politics*, the work that gave the study of governance its first empirical database. In contrast, Aristotle’s predecessor, Plato, focused almost entirely on Athens and used reason with little data for his

Republic. As Kant saw centuries ago, reason alone is highly fallible. Reasoning from a factual basis, on the other hand, can be powerful.

One of Aristotle’s classifications of Greek city-states, a sixfold table, has endured for centuries and is still useful. Aristotle first counted the number of rulers: one, several, or many. Then he divided each into “rule in the interest of all” and “rule in the interest of self.” The first Aristotle called the good, legitimate form of governance; the second he called the bad, corrupt form. Then he named them:

Number of Rulers	Legitimate Form <i>Rule in Interest of All</i>	Corrupt Form <i>Rule in Interest of Selves</i>
one	monarchy	tyranny
several	aristocracy	oligarchy
many	polity	democracy

For Aristotle, the worst form of government was “democracy,” which we would call mob rule. He had seen how **demagogues** swayed mobs to make themselves powerful and destroy ancient Athens. He had good things to say about aristocracy, rule of the best (Greek *aristos*) and the polity, a calm, moderate democracy. But any of the legitimate forms, warned Aristotle, can decay into its corrupt counterpart.

Aristotle also found that the best-governed city-states had large middle classes. A large lower class

could be seduced by demagogues into plundering the property of the middle class. A too-powerful rich class, however, could ignore citizen needs and make themselves even richer and more powerful. Either way, the state soon comes to an end. But a large middle class, neither rich nor poor, seeks good, stable governance with limits on power. Notice how both these points help explain the difficulty of establishing democracy in several countries today.

political economy Mutual influence of politics and economy; what government should do in the economy.

country, or regions of it, religious or secular? Could democracy take root in this country?

4. *What are the patterns of interaction?* Here we get to what is conventionally called “politics.” Who does what to whom? How do parties win elections? Which are the most powerful interest

groups, and how do they make their voices heard? Who tends to prevail? Are things stable or are reforms overdue?

5. *What do they quarrel about?* Here we get to ongoing issues, visible in the country’s media or in talking with citizens. The chief issue is usually the **political economy**. Is the economy growing? Why or why not? What reforms are suggested? How did the

DEMOCRACY ■ DEFINING DEMOCRACY

Democracy is not a simple thing or one that automatically grows after *authoritarian* or *totalitarian* regimes have fallen. We were naive about stable democracy soon coming to Russia and Iraq. Democracy is a complex balancing act, requiring a political culture with the right philosophical, moral, economic, and legal underpinnings. Most definitions of democracy include the following:

Accountability. Elected officials must face a real possibility of losing reelection. This induces them to adopt Friedrich’s *rule of anticipated reactions*.

Equality. One person, one vote. No citizens can be excluded. All may run for office.

Competition. Several candidates and parties compete in free and fair elections. A one-party system cannot be democratic.

Alternation. Occasional turnovers in power must replace the “in” party with the “out” party.

Representation. “The room will not hold all,” so a few fairly represent the many. The electoral system does this, either by single-member districts (as in the United States and Britain) or proportional representation (as in Germany and Sweden).

Free media. Only democracies permit the press—now including the new social media—to criticize the government. This is the quickest check for democracy.

Harvard’s Samuel Huntington suggested a “two-turnover test” for stable democracy. Two alternations

of government—elections where one party replaces another—indicate a firmly rooted democracy. Since the Polish Communist regime fell in 1989, Poland has had several electoral turnovers from left to right and back again, indicating a well-rooted democracy. Russia has never had a turnover and is not soon likely to. No turnovers, no democracy.

Freedom House (FH) in Washington uses a seven-point scale to annually rank countries on how much they accord citizens political rights and civil liberties. FH calls 1 to 2.5 “free,” 3 to 5 “partly free,” and 5.5 to 7 “not free.” Russia slid lower during the Putin years, but Indonesia advanced with a new democracy. Some of FH’s 2011 findings are shown in the table below.

United States	1.0	free
Canada	1.0	free
Britain	1.0	free
Japan	1.5	free
Brazil	2.0	free
Mexico	2.5	free
India	2.5	free
Indonesia	2.5	free
Turkey	3.0	partly free
Nigeria	4.5	partly free
Russia	5.5	not free
Iran	6.0	not free
China	6.5	not free
Cuba	6.5	not free
North Korea	7	not free

government handle the 2008–2009 recession? Should income be redistributed from better- to worse-off citizens? Noneconomic issues sometimes loom: Do the country's regions seek more autonomy or even to break away? Should immigrants be excluded or assimilated? Should touchy parts of the nation's past be covered up or faced?

NATIONS AND STATES

The Latin root of **nation** means *birth*, but few nations now define themselves by race (Japan and Korea still try); rather, *nation* now means people with a common sense of identity who often share the same language, culture, or religion. Nation building is not quick, easy, or natural. To build modern France, kings united several regions first by the sword and then by language and culture. The United States is a bizarre mix of peoples, processed over time into a set of common values. India and Nigeria, both mixes of languages and religions, are still engaged in nation building.

State means governmental institutions and laws. Obviously these are not states in the sense of the 50 U.S. states, which lack **sovereignty** because ultimately Washington's laws prevail. Historically, states preceded and often formed nations. Over the centuries, the French government, by decreeing use of a certain dialect and spelling and enforcing nationwide educational standards, molded a French consciousness. The French state invented the French nation. All nations are to a certain degree **constructed**, somewhat artificial.

We might settle on the term *country*, which originally meant a rural area where people shared the same dialect and traditions but broadened in meaning until it became synonymous with nation or state. Some used *nation-state* to combine the psychological and structural elements, but the term did not catch on. Nation-states were often defined as having territory, population, independence, government, and other attributes, but none of them are clear-cut.

Territory would seem to be a basic requirement, but what about those who have a strong sense of peoplehood but lack real estate? For example, the Jews turned their sense of nationhood into Israel, and the Palestinians now define themselves as a nation that is ready for statehood. And what happens when territorial claims overlap? History is a poor guide, as typically many tribes and invaders have washed over the land over the centuries. France's Alsatians, on the west bank of the Rhine River, speak German and have Germanic family names. But they also speak French and think of themselves as French. Should Alsace belong to France or Germany? Wars are fought over such questions.

Population is obviously essential. But many countries have populations divided by language or **ethnicity**. Sometimes the groups are angry and wish to break away. Like the ex-Soviet Union, ex-Yugoslavia was composed of several quarrelsome nationalities whose departure destroyed the country. All countries, to be sure, are more or less artificial, but over time some, such as France, have psychologically inculcated a sense of common nationhood that overrides earlier regional or ethnic loyalties. Germany has done this more recently, and India and China are still working on it. In Nigeria the process has barely begun.

Independence means that the state governs itself as a sovereign entity. Colonies, such as India under the British, become nation-states when the imperial power departs, as the British did in 1947. Diplomatic recognition by other countries, especially by the major powers, confirms a country's independence and helps its economy. China got a boost when the United States recognized it in 1972. Some countries, however, are more sovereign and independent than others. East

nation Cultural element of country; people psychologically bound to one another.

state Institutional or governmental element of country.

sovereignty Last word in law in a given territory; boss on your own turf.

constructed Deliberately created but widely accepted as natural.

ethnicity Cultural characteristics differentiating one group from another.

1.2

Contrast the terms *nation* and *state*.

 Watch the Video "State Sovereignty" at myopolisclab.com

failed state Collapse of sovereignty, essentially no national governing power.

reification Taking theory as reality; from Latin *res*, thing.

secularization Diminishing role of religion in government and society.

European lands during the Cold War were Soviet satellites; Moscow controlled their major decisions. Are Central American “banana republics,” under U.S. influence, truly sovereign and independent? Sovereign independence may be a convenient legal fiction.

Government is the crux of being a state. No government means anarchy, with the high probability that the country will fall apart or be conquered. Some call countries such as Afghanistan, the Congo, and Somalia **failed states**. Sometimes government can precede states. The Continental Congress preceded and founded the United States.

A government can be in exile, as was de Gaulle’s Free French government during World War II. The mere existence of a government does not automatically mean that it effectively governs the whole country. In many of the developing lands, the government’s writ falls off as one travels from the capital. In several Mexican states, drug lords fight virtual civil war against the Mexican government and army.

In sum, nation-states are not as clear-cut as supposed; their realities are messy but interesting. This is one reason for using the admittedly vague term “country”: It avoids **reification**, a constant temptation in the social sciences but one we must guard against.

GEOGRAPHY ■ WHAT MADE THE MODERN STATE

Europe began to stir in the eleventh century (late Middle Ages), but the Renaissance—starting in the fourteenth century—accelerated growth in art, philosophy, science, commerce, and population across Europe. The political system changed from feudalism to absolutism as monarchs increased and centralized their power over the nobles. By the middle of the fifteenth century, Europe was set for a revolution:

- **1453** The Turks used cannons to crack open the walls of Constantinople. European monarchs quickly acquired the new weapon to subdue nobles and consolidate their kingdoms.
 - **1454** Gutenberg printed with moveable type. Printing increased the spread of information, speeding up all other processes and displacing Latin with local tongues. Printed materials helped the national capital govern outlying provinces.
 - **1488** The Portuguese rounded Africa in order to reach Asia, soon followed by . . .
 - **1492** The New World opened. Countries with access to the sea (Spain, Portugal, England, France, and the Netherlands)
- rushed to Asia, Africa, and the Americas for trade and colonies.
 - **1494** Italian monk Luca Pacioli invented accounting, making it possible to control large businesses, which encouraged growth.
 - **1517** Luther nailed his 95 theses to the church door and founded Protestantism. Soon Protestant kings split from Rome and set up national churches, as in England and Sweden.
 - **1545** This led to the wars of religion, first the Schmalkaldic War of 1545–1555 and then the devastating Thirty Years War of 1618–1648. These conflicts increased state power and curtailed the church’s temporal power, leading to **secularization**.
 - **1618–1648** The Thirty Years War forced state administration to greatly improve. Warring monarchs, desperate for money, needed reliable tax bases and tax collectors. France’s Richelieu and Sweden’s Oxenstierna founded modern, rational administration to control and tax an entire country. The state got its own budget, separate from the royal household budget.

The Modern State

Whatever we call the modern state—country, state, or nation-state—we must recognize that its current form is relatively recent. To be sure, states appear at the dawn of written history. (Ancient kingdoms, in fact, invented writing in order to tax and control.) But the modern state is only about half a millennium old and traces back to the replacement of old European feudal monarchies with what were called “new monarchies” and subsequently the “strong state.” There are many factors in this shift; it is impossible to pinpoint which were the causes and which the consequences. **Causality** is always difficult to demonstrate in the social sciences, but the box on the facing page discusses changes that ushered in the modern state. Notice how they happened about the same time and how each reinforced the others in a package of incredible change.

By the end of the Thirty Years War in 1648, the feudal system had been displaced by the modern state. **Feudalism** had balanced power between monarch and nobles; it was loose and did not tolerate strong national government. It was not oriented to change or expansion. The new monarchies, on the other hand, were **absolutist**, concentrating all power in themselves, disdaining the old medieval constitution in which their powers balanced with nobles and using new economic, administrative, and military tools to increase their power. Royalist philosophers extolled the strong monarch and coined the term *sovereignty*. In consolidating their powers, monarchs had the concept of nation celebrated, giving rise to the notion of nationality, of belonging to a nation rather than merely being the subject of a hereditary ruler.

Nationalism

One can find a sort of nationalism far back in history—Israelites against Philistines, Romans against Carthaginians, Vietnamese against Chinese—but the French Revolution unleashed modern nationalism. As the armies of German princes closed in on the Revolution in 1792, the French people rallied *en masse* to repel the foe, believing they were defending both the Revolution and the *patrie* (fatherland), and the two concepts merged. France, the revolutionaries claimed, was destined to liberate and reform the rest of Europe. The concept of a nation embodying everything good was thus born, and it spread throughout Europe by Napoleon’s enthusiastic legions.

By its very nature, nationalism was contagious. The French soldiers turned into brutal and arrogant occupiers, and across Europe local patriots rose up against them with the nationalism brought by the French. French nationalism thus triggered Spanish, German, and Russian nationalism. By the late nineteenth century, with German and Italian unification, most Europeans had either formed nationalistic states or desired to (for example, Poland). Thinkers such as Germany’s Hegel and Italy’s Mazzini extolled the nation as the highest level of human (or possibly divine) development.

The modern state and its nationalism spread worldwide. Driven to expand, the Europeans conquered Latin America, Asia, and Africa. Only Japan and Turkey kept the Europeans out; Meiji Japan carried out a brilliant “defensive modernization.” The European imperialists introduced nationalism to their subject peoples. By integrating and administering previously fragmented territories, the British in India, the French in Indochina, and the Dutch in Indonesia taught “the natives” to think of themselves as a nation that, of right, deserved to be independent. Now virtually the entire globe is populated by national states, each guarding its sovereign independence and many of them ablaze with nationalism.

causality Proving that one thing causes another.

feudalism Political system of power dispersed and balanced between king and nobles.

absolutism Royal dictatorship that bypasses nobles.
